



**2024 SECONDARY STREET ACCEPTANCE REQUIREMENTS
EXCEPTION, WAIVER, MODIFICATION REQUEST FORM**

Submitted by:		Date:
Email Address:		Phone:
Address:		
Development or Subdivision Name:		
County:	Connecting Route # :	Name:
Description of Proposed Project:		

FOR VDOT USE ONLY	
Date received by VDOT:	Initial review conducted by:
District Administrator's designee:	Is request required to be determined by D.A.? :
Deadline to finalize request decision:	Date developer & locality notified of decision:

<p>NOTES:</p> <p>(i) Attach additional information as necessary describing the reasons for the exception, waiver, or modification request.</p> <p>(ii) Use the LD-440 Design Exception or the LD-448 Design Waiver forms for design related standards (e.g. design speed). See IIM-LD-227.5 for additional instructions.</p>

TYPE OF EXCEPTION, WAIVER OR MODIFICATION

1. **Stub out connection to an adjacent, existing VDOT maintained stub out** (Section 60, C, 1 of regulation)

Name, route number, and location of existing stub out:

Reason for request:

Specify reason: _____

Attached documentation supporting reason for request.

2. **Multiple Connections in Multiple Directions** (Section 60, C, 2 of regulation)

Number of connections and related directions being proposed:

Reason for request:

Why multiple connections in multiple directions can NOT be met.

Specify reason*: _____

Attached documentation supporting reason for request.

3. **Additional Connections Standard – Network addition/assembly includes over 200 dwelling units or expected VPD of 2,000 or more** (Section 60, C, 3.a of regulation)

Number of connections and related directions being proposed:

Reason for request:

Why the additional connections standard can NOT be met.

Specify reason***: _____

Attached documentation supporting reason for request.

4. **Additional Connections Standard – Network addition/assembly includes over 200 dwelling units or expected VPD of 2,000 or more, Locality Request** (Section 60, C, 3.b of regulation)

Number of connections and related directions being proposed:

Reason for request:

Why the additional connections standard can NOT be met.

Specify reason***: _____

Attached locality's Chief Executive or designee's written opinion regarding this connectivity exception request. This locality written opinion must be on locality letterhead, dated, and specify the name and title of the person completing the opinion and that this person has been designated to complete this written opinion

Attached documentation supporting reason for request.

5. **Pedestrian Accommodation Requirements** (Section 120, I of regulation)

SSAR pedestrian accommodations required for this development:

Describe pedestrian accommodations being proposed for development:

Reason for request:

A. **Why can the required pedestrian accommodations NOT be constructed.**

Specify reason: _____

Attached documentation supporting reason for request.

B. **Is developer proposing to build equivalent pedestrian accommodations:
If “yes,” explain how the proposal is equivalent or better than the SSAR
required facilities:**

Attached documentation supporting reason for request, including plans.

6. **Public Service Requirement** (Section 60, B of regulation)

For which Public Service criteria does the developer seek the exception:

Reason for request:

Why is the Public Service exception being requested?

Specify reason: _____

How does facility provide Public Service equivalent to the SSAR requirement?

Provide specifics: _____

7. **Other SSAR Exception, Waiver or Modification Request** (insert information for each individual request being made which is not addressed in items #1 through #5 above)

Exception, waiver, or modification the developer is requesting:

Related section of the SSAR regulation:

Reason for request:

Why is this request being made?:

Specify reason: _____

Attached documentation supporting reason for request.

Specific SSAR reasons for why exception is being requested – Listed by SSAR section

*- The specific reason for requested exception to SSAR Section 60, C, 2: “Multiple Connections in Multiple Directions” must be one of the following:

1. The adjoining property is completely built out, its state is such that redevelopment within 20 years is unlikely; or
2. The adjoining property is zoned for a use whose traffic is incompatible with the development being served by the network addition, provided that in no case shall retail, residential, or office uses be considered incompatible with other retail, residential, or office uses; or
3. There is no reasonable connection possible to adjoining property or adjacent highways due to a factor outside the control of the developer of the network addition, such as including the presence of conservation easements not put in place by the developer of the network addition, underground utility trunk easement not put in place by the developer of the network addition, water features such as rivers or lakes, jurisdictional wetlands, grades in excess of 15% whose total elevation change is greater than five feet, limited access highways, railroads, or government property to which access is restricted.; or
4. The network addition was constructed in accordance with an overall plan of development approved by the department and the locality as meeting all the requirements of this chapter, and the additional phase of the development allowing the network addition to meet connectivity is under construction.

** - The specific reason for requested exception to SSAR Section 60, C, 3.a: “Additional Connections Standard” must be one of the following:

1. The adjoining property is completely built out, its state is such that redevelopment within 20 years is unlikely, and there is no stub out (either constructed or platted) to the property served by the network addition;
2. The adjoining property is zoned for a use whose traffic is incompatible with the development being served by the network addition, providing, however, that in no case shall retail, residential, or office uses be considered incompatible with retail, residential, or office uses;
3. In developments with a median density of more than eight lots per acre or with a FAR of 0.4 or higher, where the number of connections provided would be contrary to the public interest; or
4. There is no reasonable connection possible to adjoining property or adjacent highways due to a factor outside the control of the developer of the network addition, including but not limited to the presence of conservation easements not put in place by the developer of the network addition, underground utility trunk easement not put in place by the developer of the network addition, water features such as rivers or lakes, jurisdictional wetlands, grades in excess of 15% whose total elevation change is greater than five feet, limited access highways, railroads, or government property to which access is restricted.
5. The network addition was constructed in accordance with an overall plan of development approved by the department and the locality as meeting all the requirements of this chapter, and the additional phase of the development allowing the network addition to meet connectivity is under construction.

***- The specific reason for locality requested exceptions to SSAR Section 60, C, 3.b: “Additional Connections Standard” must be one of the following:

1. Topographic constraints;
2. Incompatible with existing adjoining development;
3. The adjoining property is completely built out as envisioned in the locality's comprehensive plan with no expectation of redevelopment in the next 20 years and there is no stub out (either constructed or platted) to the property served by the network addition;
4. The connection would impact the developer's ability to comply with any local ordinances related to the preservation of open space or trees during the land development process, after a good faith effort to comply with connectivity requirements and local ordinances;
5. The connection would require work outside the right-of-way (existing or proposed) or easements on an adjoining property outside of the control of the developer; or
6. Other factors as determined by the applicant and locality's chief executive or designee. The district administrator's designee shall respond to requests for such connectivity exceptions within 30 calendar days of receipt of a completed VDOT request form.

Request Recommendation: Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Deny <input type="checkbox"/>	Date:
Person completing recommendation:	
Reasons for recommendation (required):	

Request Action: Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Denied <input type="checkbox"/>	Date:
Action taken by District Administrator or Designee (name):	
Reasons for action (required):	